- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS BURDETTE
- 3 HIF098.140
- 4 EXAMINING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TOBACCO CONTROL ACT
- 5 TUESDAY, APRIL 8, 2014
- 6 House of Representatives,
- 7 Subcommittee on Energy and Commerce
- 8 Committee on Health
- 9 Washington, D.C.

- The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:17 a.m.,
- 11 in Room 2322 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Joe
- 12 Pitts [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
- 13 Members present: Representatives Pitts, Burgess,
- 14 Shimkus, Murphy, Lance, Cassidy, Guthrie, Griffith,
- 15 Bilirakis, Ellmers, Upton (ex officio), Pallone, Engel,
- 16 Capps, Green, Barrow, Christensen, Castor, and Waxman (ex

```
17
    officio).
18
         Staff present: Gary Andres, Staff Director; Noelle
19
    Clemente, Press Secretary; Paul Edattel, Professional Staff
20
    Member, Health; Sydne Harwick, Legislative Clerk; Carly
21
    McWilliams, Professional Staff Member, Health; Charlotte
22
    Savercool, Legislative Coordinator; Heidi Stirrup, Health
23
    Policy Coordinator; John Stone, Counsel, Health; Ziky
24
    Ababiya, Democratic Staff Assistant; Karen Lightfoot,
25
    Democratic Communications Director and Senior Policy Advisor;
    Karen Nelson, Democratic Deputy Committee Staff Director for
26
    Health; Anne Morris Reid, Democratic Senior Professional
27
28
    Staff Member; and Matt Siegler, Democratic Counsel.
```

```
29
         Mr. {Pitts.} The subcommittee will come to order.
30
    Chair will recognize himself for an opening statement.
31
         The Tobacco Control Act, TCA, was signed into the law on
32
    June 22, 2009. The TCA established the Center for Tobacco
33
    Products, the CTP, within FDA, and gave FDA authority over
34
    the regulation of tobacco products, including restricting
35
    their sale, distribution, advertising and promotion. In
36
    addition, FDA has the authority to require changes in the
37
    design and characteristics of current and future tobacco
    products, such as the reduction or elimination of harmful
38
39
    ingredients and additives. The sole funding source for CTP
40
    is user fees assessed on tobacco manufacturers and importers.
41
         GAO has conducted a comprehensive study on the law's
42
    implementation, and in September 2013, it released a report
43
    entitled ``New Tobacco Products: FDA Needs to Set Time
44
    Frames for Its Review Process.'' The report examines CTP's
45
    review of new tobacco product submissions, responses to
46
    meeting requests, and use of its user fees. Among its
47
    findings, GAO reports that CTP lacks basic performance
    measures ``like time frames for reviews of submissions'', and
48
```

```
49
    that this ``limits CTP's ability to evaluate policies,
50
    procedures and staffing resources in relation to CTP's
51
    submission review process, and in turn limits CTP's ability
52
    to reasonably assure efficient operations and effective
    results.''
53
54
         GAO concludes that ``an entity that is limited in its
55
    ability to evaluate its performance will be hard-pressed to
56
    determine what adjustments it should make to its operations,
    or how to plan for the future.'' This report raises
57
58
    troubling concerns about CTP's performance, and its ability
59
    to effectively implement the Tobacco Control Act, and respond
60
    to the thousands of new product submissions it has received
61
    in a timely manner.
62
         As the subcommittee with oversight of FDA and the Center
    for Tobacco Products, we were hoping to hear directly from
63
    the FDA, however, Dr. Marcia Crosse of GAO is here today to
64
65
    walk us through the report, and GAO's ongoing efforts to
66
    oversee implementation of the Act.
67
         [The prepared statement of Mr. Pitts follows:]
```

******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

68

```
69
         Mr. {Pitts.} Yield the balance of my time to the
70
    gentleman from Kentucky, Mr. Guthrie.
71
         Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for
72
    yielding and holding this hearing today.
73
         Congress granted the Center for Tobacco Products the
74
    authority to regulate tobacco products, but unfortunately,
75
    the process has been fraught with problems. I have heard
76
    from many in the industry, including constituents, who have
77
    been stuck in the dysfunctional CTP approval process.
         As a result of CTP's inaction, many reduced risk or harm
78
79
    reduction products are not being approved and are not
80
    available to consumers. There are examples of ingredients
    that could be potentially hazardous, and are removed from
81
82
    products sold in other international markets, but because of
83
    the burdensome process at the FDA, and the unlikelihood that
84
    their submission would even be reviewed, they have to leave
85
    the ingredient in their products sold in the U.S. So
86
    consumers overseas are offered a potentially less harmful
87
    product than American consumers have access to.
88
         There are a number of examples like this, very minor
```

```
89
     tweaks that require substantial equivalence or SE
90
     submissions, and they just sit at CTP waiting approval. For
91
    March 2011 until June 2013, CTP did not rule on one single
92
     filing, and at that point, they ruled on six of nearly 4,000
     submissions. To date, I believe they have made only 12
93
94
     determinations. It appears that CTP is just not doing their
95
     job.
96
          I have a Bill, House Resolution 389, that would exercise
97
     oversight over CTP, and require they submit a report to
98
     Congress on their activity. It is a good government,
99
     commonsense approach to ensure that this agency of government
100
    works, and is accountable to Congress and the committee that
101
     is vested with its authority.
          Tobacco user fees are not subject to reauthorization, so
102
103
     there is little opportunity for the industry to enter into
104
     discussions with FDA the way pharmaceutical companies or
105
     device manufacturers can. As the oversight body, I believe
106
    we should be able to see how these funds are being used, the
107
    number of applications being reviewed or still pending, and
     get a clear picture of the division's work.
108
```

Mr. Chairman, my--by its inaction, CTP is blocking

109

```
consumers from having access to less harmful products, with
the little sign of improvement, I encourage my colleagues to
support my Bill, which would ensure we receive a clear
picture of CTP's activities moving forward.

I yield back.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Guthrie follows:]
```

```
117
          Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman.
118
          Now recognizes the ranking member of the subcommittee,
    Mr. Pallone, 5 minutes for an opening statement.
119
120
          Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you
121
     for calling today's important hearing on the implementation
122
     of the Tobacco Control Act.
123
          This year marks 5 years since the Tobacco Control Act
124
    became law, which, for the first time, provided FDA the
125
     authority to regulate tobacco products.
          The Center for Tobacco Products was given an enormous
126
127
    but critically overdue task to protect the public health from
128
     the dangers of tobacco use, and many members of this
     committee, including myself, led by Mr. Waxman, were proud to
129
130
    work on this groundbreaking law.
          We have known for 50 years about the terrible health
131
132
     effects of smoking. Tobacco companies initiated and
133
     sustained the nation's tobacco epidemic, and for decades
134
     deliberately misled the public about the risks of smoking.
    Meanwhile, new findings in the latest Surgeon General's
135
     report indicated cigarettes are even more hazardous and
136
```

137 addictive than they previously were known. Each year, 480,000 Americans die from smoking-related causes, and 138 139 smoking costs the country over \$289 billion in health bills 140 and lost productivity. 141 So I think we can all agree that the Center for Tobacco 142 Products has a lofty task moving forward, but I wanted to 143 highlight a few of the important benefits FDA has begun to 144 execute. 145 They have restricted the sale of and marketing of tobacco products to children, they have set standards for 146 147 companies who make claims about the harms on their products, 148 they have implemented a new science-based public health 149 standard for the review of tobacco products, and they have begun to review these new product applications. Of course, 150 151 there is a lot more work to be done. There are a number of 152 regulatory actions that I believe still need to occur to 153 protect the public from the dangers of other tobacco 154 products, and this includes banning candy-flavored cigars 155 that appeal to our youth, and ending e-cigarette marketing practices that target kids. We should also raise taxes on 156 all tobacco products, and close loopholes that let tobacco 157

companies avoid federal taxes. In addition, I believe we 158 159 must remove barriers to quitting tobacco use by making 160 certain that tobacco cessation coverage is available to all 161 Americans through the Affordable Care Act. 162 Mr. Chairman, I hope this will be the first in a number 163 of oversight hearings on the tobacco law. For the past few 164 years, my colleagues and I have asked for tobacco hearings. 165 In fact, the most recent request would have examined the 166 recent alarming trends in the currently unregulated tobacco products like e-cigarettes. Just last week, we learned that 167 about -- some data came forward that reports of poisonings 168 caused by accidental ingestion of e-liquids, and that is the 169 170 liquid containing nicotine used to refill e-cigarette 171 cartridges. That--the incidents tripled from 2012 to 2013. 172 And while I appreciate the view of GAO and look forward to Ms. Crosse's testimony and comments, today's hearing should 173 have included the FDA. It is important that we offer our 174 175 Administration some courteousness. That includes allowing 176 for sufficient time in scheduling hearings. So I hope you will ensure that the director of the Center for Tobacco 177 Products and the FDA have a legitimate ability to update 178

185 Mr. {Engel.} Well, I thank my friend for yielding to me, and I want to thank the -- both the ranking member and the 186 187 Chairman for holding this hearing. I want to echo the comments of Ranking Member Pallone, 188 189 and then I also wish that this hearing could have been 190 scheduled at a time that would have allowed the FDA to 191 participate. The implementation of a Family Smoking 192 Prevention and Tobacco Control Act is critically important, 193 and I think members of this committee would have benefitted from hearing the FDA's perspective. 194 That being said, however, I do appreciate the 195 196 willingness of GAO to come here today to testify about their 197 oversight efforts on the law. 198 My district includes parts of the Bronx, where over 199 100,000 people have asthma. I live in that borough. This 200 borough has some of the highest rates of asthma-related 201 emergency room visits in all of New York. This reality is 202 due in no small part to the prevalence of smoking and secondhand smoke exposure. Just Friday, a report by New York 203 State Comptroller, Thomas DiNapoli, found that asthma-related 204

```
medical expenses and lost productivity are costing my state
205
206
    an estimated $1.3 billion a year. Eliminating the use of
207
    tobacco products amongst children and youth can play an
     important role in reducing these asthma-related costs.
208
209
         So I am pleased that we are holding hearings on this
210
     today, and I look forward to the testimony.
211
         And I yield back.
212
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Engel follows:]
     ******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********
213
```

```
214
          Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentleman.
          Now recognizes the Chairman of the Full Committee, Mr.
215
     Upton, 5 minutes for an opening statement.
216
          The {Chairman.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
217
218
          You know, it has been 5 years since the Family Smoking
219
     Prevention Tobacco Control Act was signed into law. We have
220
     a collective responsibility as the FDA's authorizing
221
     committee to ensure the Agency is implementing the law, and
222
     all laws, in a fair, consistent and transparent manner.
     FDA's decision should always be based on sound scientific
223
224
     evidence, with the health of our nation's citizens in mind.
225
          The GAO has done a thorough job overseeing the
     implementation efforts conducted by the Center for Tobacco
226
227
     Products to date, and their work continues.
228
          I want to thank Dr. Marcia Crosse from the outset for
     her hard work on this front, and for her responsiveness to
229
230
     the committee staff.
231
          GAO has raised a number of concerning issues about the
     efficiency and consistency of CTP's regulatory activities to
232
     date. For instance, they issued a report in September of
233
```

234 last year noting that the center had yet to set any 235 performance measures or reviewed timelines to ensure 236 accountability and gauge progress. I am a firm believer that 237 transparency does breed accountability. Congressman Guthrie, 238 as he noted, did introduce The Transparency in Tobacco User 239 Fees Act, H.R. 389, which is a commonsense piece of 240 legislation that would require the FDA to submit annual 241 reports to Congress on how those user fees have been spent. 242 FDA has such a statutory requirement for user fee programs, such as PDUFA, and the insight gained from such reports has 243 244 led to improvements across the board. 245 And again, I welcome our witnesses. I yield back the balance--I yield the balance of my time 246 247 to the Vice Chair of the Health Subcommittee, Dr. Burgess. 248 [The prepared statement of Hon. Upton follows:] ******* COMMITTEE INSERT ******** 249

250 Dr. {Burgess.} I thank The Chairman for yielding. 251 The Chairman is correct; this subcommittee has an 252 obligation as the principle authorizing committee that allowed the Center for Tobacco Products to be created in the 253 254 first place, we have a responsibility for its oversight. 255 fact of the matter is, they have been up and running for 5 256 years, and this is the first hearing and they are not here. 257 We need to know how the Agency is implementing the law. We need to know what taxes are collecting and how they are 258 259 allocating the resources. We have asked these questions over 260 and over again for 5 years. 261 And here is the bottom line. Somebody already said it: tobacco--when used as directed, tobacco products cause 262 580,000 deaths every year. 263 264 The Food and Drug Administration is charged with seeing that medicines and devices are safe and effective. 480,000 265 266 deaths every year. You can't call that safe, but it darned 267 sure is effective. The fact of the matter is, this Agency never belonged 268 within the Food and Drug Administration in the first place. 269

270 I argued against that when the Bill passed 5 years ago. I 271 will continue to argue against it today, but the fact of the 272 matter is, they are in the same building, and as long as it 273 is--as long as they are housed in the same building, it is this committee's obligation to require an accounting of how 274 275 are the user fees collected, how are they spent. My 276 understanding is there is over \$1 billion in user fees that 277 have been collected in the 5 years since this agency was 278 created, and almost half of that remains unspent. 279 To put that number in perspective, it is 5 times the amount of user fees collected from medical device 280 281 manufacturers, and we don't have an accurate accounting as to 282 how the money has been spent and how it will be spent. We 283 know there were challenges about the graphic labels, and that 284 is tied up in the courts. 285 Stakeholders complain of the lack of any regulatory 286 guidance, despite the fact they were given statutory 287 direction by this committee. 288 Here is the bottom line. Since we approved this agency within an agency, has it improved the health of Americans? 289 Every statistic tells us it is going in the wrong direction. 290

```
291
          So this morning, where is the FDA? They could not find
292
     the time to come here and testify. In fact, this is the
293
     third time this year that they have been asked to come and
294
     testify before this committee. This committee, both sides of
     the dais, Republic and Democrat, should be seriously
295
296
     concerned about the fact that the FDA, the head of the Center
297
     for Tobacco Products, will not come to this committee and
298
     testify. They are always traveling, they are always out of
299
     town. Make your other directors available to us within that
300
     same agency. We don't mind hearing from them. We don't
     always have to hear from the same person, but at least make
301
302
     an effort to accommodate the committee staff when they ask
303
     you to be here when we have these hearings.
304
          The {Chairman.} The gentleman yield?
305
          Dr. {Burgess.} I hope the GAO can shine light on these
306
     actions.
307
          The {Chairman.} I would like the clarification about
308
     FDA not being here, because as I understand it, they were
309
    notified last week, a week. They said they needed more time,
310
     so it sounds like we haven't accommodated them to be here,
311
    not that they haven't accommodated us.
```

```
312
          Dr. {Burgess.} Yeah, but this--just reclaim my time,
     this is the third time that we have asked Mr. Zeller to come
313
314
    here and testify, and the third time that he has been
315
     traveling for a speech or participating in another event.
     after the FDA staff informed the committee staff that Mr.
316
317
     Zeller could not testify on April 7, committee staff informed
318
     the FDA that any or all of the various office heads within
319
     the Center for Tobacco Products could speak and testify to
320
     their regulatory activities. Food and Drug Administration
321
     informed the committee staff that there wasn't enough time to
322
     draft and clear formal testimony by April 7. In response,
323
     the committee staff told FDA that we would not require formal
324
     testimony be submitted, an arrangement that we have
    previously agreed to in special circumstances. The Food and
325
326
     Drug Administration decided they did not want to participate
     without submitting formal testimony, but they were open to
327
328
     testifying at some point in the future. And I think this
329
     subcommittee should do everything it can to ensure that that
330
     condition is met.
          I yield back.
331
332
          [The prepared statement of Dr. Burgess follows:]
```

333 ********* COMMITTEE INSERT *********

334 Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Waxman, 5 minutes for an 335 opening statement. 336 337 Mr. {Waxman.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 338 I think we are making a big to-do about nothing. 339 FDA has been offered a number of dates. We wouldn't accept 340 their request. I don't think we have accommodated them, and 341 I think this is a little silly. If we are going to have a 342 hearing, FDA ought to be here. But let us look at the big picture. Twenty years ago, 343 344 this subcommittee held a famous hearing. Seven tobacco CEO 345 executives, seven tobacco CEO's testified at that hearing and denied that cigarettes are harmful, that nicotine is 346 347 addictive, that they didn't manipulate nicotine, that they certainly wouldn't go after kids, and their denials that day 348 349 galvanized the antismoking movement. 350 A lot has happened in the last 20 years. Smoking rates 351 have dropped, smoke-free laws have become widespread. In 2009, Congress passed the Family Smoking Prevention Tobacco 352 353 Control Act on a bipartisan basis. The tobacco companies are

trying to circumvent this law. The law banned the sale of 354 355 candy-flavored cigarettes. So what did the tobacco companies 356 They started selling candy-flavored little cigars. 357 law restricted marketing of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco 358 to kids, but companies are using the same tactics to promote 359 e-cigarettes to kids. 360 We have asked repeatedly for hearings in this committee 361 to examine these outrageous practices, but the committee has 362 refused to hold any hearings. 363 Today we are finally holding a hearing on that law that was passed in 2009, which I authored, but we are focusing on 364 365 a very narrow issue. The timelines for reviewing applications submitted by the tobacco companies, not the 366 public health issues that American families care about, and 367 FDA is not able to testify because the committee would not 368 369 accommodate the Agency's reasonable request for adequate time 370 to prepare. This is a missed opportunity. 371 In the 50 years since the first Surgeon General report 372 on smoking, we have made tremendous progress in reducing tobacco use. We have cut adult and youth smoking rates in 373

half or more, we have prevented millions of premature

374

375 smoking-related deaths. Since the enactment of the Tobacco Control Act, FDA has restricted the sale and marketing of 376 cigarettes and smokeless tobacco to youths. FDA has set 377 standards for companies that assert their products reduce 378 harms, and the Agency has undertaken reviews of new tobacco 379 380 product applications using a new public health standard, 381 marking the first time this industry has been regulated. But 382 our work is far from done. These are the things we ought to 383 be looking at. More than 480,000 Americans die each year 384 from smoking. Each day, thousands of children try their first cigarette. Cigarette use has declined, but we have 385 386 seen an alarming increase in the use of candy-flavored little 387 cigars and e-cigarettes by our kids. That should concern us, 388 but not at today's hearing. 389 There is a long list of things we need to do. First, 390 FDA must continue implementation of the Tobacco Control Act, 391 and take full advantage of its authorities. That is why I 392 and other members have repeatedly called on FDA to issue deeming regulations that will stop companies from marketing 393 394 e-cigarettes to kids, and using candy-like flavors to entice our kids to smoke. 395

```
396
          Secondly, we must take coverage -- we must make coverage
397
     of tobacco cessation more accessible to current smokers
398
     through the Affordable Care Act.
399
          Third, we must raise the taxes on all tobacco products,
400
     and close the loopholes that let companies avoid federal
401
     taxes, like the lower tax rates for pipe tobacco.
402
          Fourth, we must support effective public health
403
     campaigns and tobacco control programs that discourage
404
     smoking.
405
          And fifth, we must encourage other nations to adopt
     strong tobacco control measures, and stop the tobacco
406
407
     companies using trade agreements to challenge these policies.
408
          We are unlikely to tackle these issues during today's
     hearing, so I hope this will be the first of a series of
409
410
     hearings into the tobacco industry's practices, and our
411
     progress on tobacco control.
412
          I appreciate GAO for testifying, and the work they have
413
     done, but it just reminds me that after the series of
414
     hearings that we had in 1994 which changed the tobacco issue
     forever, we hadn't had a hearing in this committee for many,
415
     many years after the Republicans took control, until one day
416
```

```
417
    we had a hearing, not on all these health issues, but why we
     shouldn't encourage people to use smokeless tobacco as a way
418
419
     to wean off smoking. Trade one addiction for another. Of
     course, we never invited anybody else to come in and testify
420
421
     about the other public health measures that were in place to
422
     encourage people and help people give up smoking.
423
          So you sometimes wonder, is this committee concerned
424
     about public health or are they concerned about special
425
     interests. And I put that question out there for people to
426
    think about.
427
         Yield back my time.
428
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Waxman follows:]
     ******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********
429
```

```
430
          Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentleman.
431
          That concludes the opening statements. As always, the
    written opening statements of all members will be made part
432
433
     of the record.
          We have one panel today, one witness. I will invite our
434
435
    witness to please come to the witness table and introduce her
436
     at this time, Dr. Marcia Crosse, Director, Health Care, U.S.
437
    Government Accountability Office. Your written testimony
    will be made a part of the record, and you will be given 5
438
    minutes to summarize your testimony.
439
          So at this time, Chair recognizes Dr. Crosse 5 minutes
440
441
     for an opening statement.
```

```
^STATEMENT OF DR. MARCIA CROSSE, DIRECTOR, HEALTH CARE, U.S.
442
443
     GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE
444
     ^STATEMENT OF MARCIA CROSSE
445
     }
         Ms. {Crosse.} Thank you. Chairman Pitts, Ranking
446
    Member Pallone, and members of the subcommittee, I am pleased
447
     to be here today as you examine implementation of the Family
448
     Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, enacted almost 5
     years ago in June 2009.
449
          The Act represents the first time that FDA has had the
450
451
     authority to regulate tobacco products. It requires that
     tobacco manufacturers submit information to be reviewed by
452
     FDA in order to market certain new tobacco products. FDA's--
453
454
     FDA reviews the products using a public health standard,
455
     taking into account the risks and benefits of tobacco
456
     products on the population as a whole, including users and
457
    non-users. The Act also established the Center for Tobacco
458
     Products, CTP, within FDA. CTP implements the Act by
     reviewing submissions for marketing new tobacco products,
459
```

460 enforcing prohibitions on the sale of certain tobacco 461 products, developing and issuing regulations and guidance, 462 and engaging in public education about the risks associated with tobacco product use. The Act also authorizes FDA to 463 assess and collect user fees from each tobacco manufacturer 464 465 and importer. All of CTP's activities are funded exclusively 466 through user fees, and unspent user fees may be carried over 467 from year to year. 468 My statement today will discuss the extent to which FDA has spent its tobacco user fees, and the status of CTP's 469 reviews of new tobacco product submissions. 470 At the end of fiscal year 2012, just over 3 years after 471 472 the Tobacco Control Act was passed, CTP had spent less than half of the user fees it had collected to that point. The 473 474 time it took to award contacts contributed to the center 475 spending less than it had planned. 476 In fiscal year 2013, CTP was able to award contracts for 477 a number of activities, including media campaigns to educate 478 youth on the dangers of tobacco use. By the end of last year, CTP had spent over 80 percent of the approximately 479 \$1.75 billion in user fees collected by that time. 480

481 Turning to product reviews. It has taken FDA a number of years to begin making decisions on submissions for new 482 483 tobacco products. Nearly all of the almost 4,500 submissions received by CTP were made under the substantial equivalence, 484 or SE, pathway. Under the SE pathway, CTP determines whether 485 486 the product has the same characteristics as a predicate 487 tobacco product, or has different characteristics that do not 488 raise different questions of public health. About 80 percent 489 of the SE submissions FDA received were provisional SE 490 submissions. This means they were received by FDA prior to a statutory deadline in March 2011, allowing the product to be 491 492 marketed unless CTP finds that they are not substantially 493 equivalent. SE submissions received after that deadline are called regular SE submissions, and these products cannot be 494 495 marketed until CTP determines that they are substantially 496 equivalent to predicate products. 497 CTP made its first decisions on SE submissions in June 498 2013, and, as of December 31, 2013, CTP has made a final 499 decision on a total of 30 of the 4,490 SE submissions it had 500 received. All 30 final decisions, that is, substantially equivalent or not substantially equivalent, were for regular 501

502 SE submissions. 503 In February 2014, CTP made its first decisions on 504 provisional SE submissions, finding products in 4 submissions to be not substantially equivalent to predicate products, and 505 issued orders to stop the further sale and distribution of 506 507 these 4 products. CTP officials and manufacturers told us 508 that several factors increased the time it took CTP to review 509 SE submissions, such as CTP requests for additional 510 information from manufacturers, and having to hire and train 511 staff. However, we found that CTP has not had performance measures that include time frames for making final decisions 512 513 on SE submissions. We reported last year that the lack of 514 such performance measures limits CTP's ability to reasonably assure efficient operations and effective results. We 515 516 recommended that FDA establish such performance measures, and 517 the Agency agreed with our recommendation. 518 As of last week, FDA officials said that they expect to 519 identify performance measures that include time frames for 520 some types of submissions in spring 2014, and to implement the measures by October 2014. However, the Agency has not 521 522 determined when it will establish performance measures for

```
523
     the largest part of its backlog of submissions, the
     provisional SE submissions for products that are currently on
524
525
     the market.
          In addition, although FDA has increased its staff and
526
527
     training for staff, tobacco industry stakeholders express
528
     concerns about whether CTP will have a sufficient number of
529
     qualified staff to review the current backlog, and also
530
     review new submissions that may be made in the future,
531
     particularly if FDA asserts jurisdiction over new types of
532
     tobacco products.
          In summary, in the past year, FDA has taken a number of
533
534
     steps, such as media campaigns and conducting product
     reviews, that have begun to result in actions and final
535
     decisions. However, there are many remaining challenges for
536
537
     the Agency, particularly if it expands the scope of its
538
     authority to include additional types of tobacco products.
539
          Mr. Chairman, this completes my prepared statement. I
540
     would be happy to respond to any questions that you or
541
     members of the subcommittee may have.
          [The prepared statement of Ms. Crosse follows:]
542
```

```
544
          Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentlelady.
          I will begin the questioning. Recognize myself 5
545
     minutes for that purpose.
546
          Dr. Crosse, GAO's September 2013 report recommended that
547
548
     FDA establish performance measures that include time frames
549
     for making decisions, and that the Agency monitor performance
550
     relative to these time frames. What actions, if any, has FDA
551
     taken in response to these recommendations?
552
          Ms. {Crosse.} They agreed with the recommendations, and
     they have told us that this spring, they will establish time
553
554
     frames for 2 types of submissions, for the regular SE
555
     submissions and for the exemption from SE submissions, but
     that is a subset of the larger pool. They have not yet
556
557
     determined when they are going to establish time frames for
     the larger portion of their backlog, and that is the earlier
558
559
     submissions that were made prior to the March 11 deadline.
560
          Mr. {Pitts.} These seem like general, good government
561
     practices that FDA should have already implemented, without
     GAO having to make such a recommendation. Are there not time
562
     frames for review in the Tobacco Control Act?
563
```

```
564
          Ms. {Crosse.} The Tobacco Control Act only established
     time frames for review for one type of application, and that
565
     type of application is one that requires more information to
566
    be provided. It is one where there is no predicate product
567
568
     on the market, and the Act established a 180 day time frame
569
     for decisions on those applications. It did not establish
570
     time frames for the substantial equivalence submission, which
571
    have made up the vast majority of submissions that FDA has
572
     received.
573
          Mr. {Pitts.} How does FDA prioritize reviews of the
     substantial equivalence submissions?
574
575
          Ms. {Crosse.} Right now, it--the officials have told us
576
     that they are prioritizing the regular SE submissions, and
577
     those are for products that are not yet on the market, for
    products that need approval by FDA before those products can
578
579
    be marketed. So they are prioritizing ones for products that
580
     are not on the market. Among the provisional SE submissions,
581
     they have divided those submissions into 4 groups, 4 tiers,
582
     that they have assigned risk levels to, and they are
     prioritizing those that they believe pose the highest risk.
583
584
          Mr. {Pitts.} Now, is it true that some of these
```

```
585
     submissions are for products that actually have reduced
     levels of harmful ingredients, and if so, would there be a
586
     way for FDA to prioritize these types of submissions?
587
588
          Ms. {Crosse.} It is possible, but the--there is another
     pathway, the modified risk tobacco product submissions. FDA
589
590
     has received only 7 submissions of that type, and that is
591
     where the manufacturer is making a clam that it actually
592
     reduces the risk, and none of those have had sufficient
593
     information for FDA to proceed. So all of those submissions
594
     are at a halt at this point, and withdrawn by the
595
     manufacturer.
          The products that have come in through the regular SE
596
597
     pathways are not making claims that they reduce the risk to
     public health, although it is possible that they could.
598
599
          Mr. {Pitts.} We have heard that one factor affecting
600
     the long time frames for FDA review is the fact that it took
601
     them a while to get the Center for Tobacco Products up and
     running. They have had now 5 years. Have they gotten any
602
603
     faster over time?
          Ms. {Crosse.} They have gotten somewhat faster in the
604
     initial steps that they go through in determining their
605
```

606 jurisdiction, and in determining the completeness of the 607 application, particularly for the regular submissions, they 608 now feel that they are at a point where they can establish 609 some time frames for those reviews. They have--haven't made 610 enough decisions on the provisional SE submissions for us to 611 determine whether or not they are getting any faster. 612 have only been just 4 decisions, all for a single type of 613 product, from a single manufacturer. 614 Mr. {Pitts.} All right, thank you. The Chair recognizes the Ranking Member, Mr. Pallone, 5 615 616 minutes for questions. 617 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Dr. Crosse, in April 2011, FDA indicated that it would 618 619 issue regulations asserting jurisdiction over additional 620 tobacco products like e-cigarettes, little cigars and pipe 621 tobacco, and as you testified in October, FDA submitted a 622 proposed deeming rule to OMB, but the rule has not yet been 623 issued by FDA. 624 Over the past few years, we have seen dramatic increases in the use of e-cigarettes and flavored little cigars among 625 youth, and there is also evidence that manufacturer activity 626

targeting youth has driven this growth in alternative tobacco 627 products, and that FDA, in action, made it easier for 628 629 manufacturers to do so. So I would like to find out more about FDA's proposed 630 631 regulations and the public health costs of delay. And my 632 first question, I have a lot, is last week, the Centers for 633 Disease Control and Prevention at CD--or Prevention--I am 634 sorry. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the CDC, reported that the number of calls to poison centers 635 involving e-cigarette liquids rose from 1 per month in 636 637 September 2010, to 215 per month as of February of this year. 638 Did you see this CDC report, and if so, what were your impressions? 639 Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, I did see the CDC report, and I 640 641 think it is concerning because nicotine, in a liquid form 642 like that, can be a potent poison. With the growth of e-643 cigarettes, there are more liquids being distributed, as I 644 understand it, for refill purposes, both to businesses and in 645 some quantities for purchase by individuals. And as with any poison, it is a concern if children can have access to that. 646 Mr. {Pallone.} Well, in fact, members of this committee 647

```
648
    have repeatedly written to FDA raising the alarm about the
649
    various risks that e-cigarettes pose to children and
650
     adolescents. We pointed out that e-cigarette makers are
    producing products with kid-friendly flavors such as cookies
651
     and cream milkshake, and we have called on FDA to issue
652
653
     deeming regulations to bring an end to manufacturers
654
     targeting our youth through aggressive campaigns -- ad
655
     campaigns, as well as event sponsorships and other tactics
656
     once used by cigarette manufacturers.
657
          So, Dr. Crosse, last September CDC reported that between
     2011 and '12, the percentage of high school students who had
658
659
    used e-cigarettes more than doubled. Are you aware of these
     findings?
660
661
          Ms. {Crosse.} I have seen the CDC statistics, yes.
          Mr. {Pallone.} And are you also aware that CDC's
662
663
     director, Dr. Tom Frieden, and other experts, have raised
664
     concerns that e-cigarettes could be a gateway product to
665
     conventional cigarette and other tobacco products use?
666
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, I have seen that statement.
          Mr. {Pallone.} The importance of FDA issuing deeming
667
     regulations extends beyond e-cigarettes. Flavored cigars,
668
```

```
669
     for example, are also currently unregulated. In October, CDC
670
     reported that sales of little cigars have skyrocketed over
671
     the past decade, and more than 40 percent of middle and high
     school students who smoke were reportedly using these
672
673
     flavored products.
674
          Dr. Crosse, I would like to ask you a series of
675
     questions regarding FDA's ability to take specific actions if
676
     the Agency asserts jurisdiction over e-cigarettes and
677
     flavored little cigars.
          First, could the Agency prohibit the sales of these
678
     products to minors, and require age verification prior to
679
680
     purchase?
681
          Ms. {Crosse.} It is my understanding that they have
682
     that authority.
          Mr. {Pallone.} Could the Agency prohibit brand name
683
684
     sponsorships of events that are widely attended by youth?
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, I believe that they could extend
685
686
     that current prohibition to new products that were deemed
687
     under their control.
```

Mr. {Pallone.} Could FDA prohibit the use of

characterizing flavors that are attractive to kids?

688

689

```
690
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, I believe that they have the
691
     authority.
692
          Mr. {Pallone.} And finally, could FDA take steps to
     inform the public about the harms of ingesting, inhaling or
693
     absorbing e-cigarette nicotine cartridges through the skin or
694
695
     eyes?
696
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, they have authority to conduct
697
     public education campaigns.
698
          Mr. {Pallone.} I just think it is crucial that FDA acts
     quickly to deem additional tobacco products. In the absence
699
700
     of regulation, manufacturers take advantage of regulatory
701
     loopholes to target impressionable children and teens. The
702
     recent Surgeon General's report reiterated what we have known
703
     for a long time, that exposure to nicotine in youth increases
704
     the risk of lifelong tobacco product use.
705
          So do you have any insight into why release of the
706
     deeming rule has been delayed?
707
          Ms. {Crosse.} I don't have any information on that.
708
     FDA has announced that its deeming regulation will include a
709
     number of tobacco products that it does not currently
710
     regulate. I do not know what the delays are for this deeming
```

```
711
     rule.
712
          Mr. {Pallone.} I mean if, you know, obviously, Mr.
713
     Chairman, if at any point Dr. Crosse could get us more
714
     information about, you know, the delay or when this is going
715
     to come out, we would appreciate you providing the committee
716
     with that and, you know, and any written follow up.
717
     could ask through the Chairman.
718
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes. I have no information beyond the
719
     Commissioner's statement last week at the Appropriations
720
     hearing that it would be very soon.
721
          Mr. {Pallone.} Okay. So let me just say that evidence
722
     from GAO, CDC, this committee and others has demonstrated
723
     that the use of e-cigarettes, little cigars and other
724
     unregulated products has increased dramatically, and this is
725
     due on part to inaction on the deeming rule. So I just have
726
     to emphasize, Mr. Chairman, that FDA has to quick--act
727
     quickly to assert jurisdiction over all these tobacco
728
     products.
729
          Thank you.
          Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentleman.
730
```

731

Now recognizes the gentleman from Kentucky, Mr. Guthrie,

- 732 5 minutes for questions.
- 733 Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you
- 734 for coming today. I appreciate that, Dr. Crosse.
- 735 Do you have any more data on what the backlog at CTP
- 736 looks like, and can you let us know how many of the SE
- 737 submissions within the backlog relate to different--product
- 738 changes, label changes and name changes? It is not just
- 739 product changes they can regulate, it is label and name as
- 740 well.
- 741 Ms. {Crosse.} I don't have information at the moment on
- 742 that. We are conducting further work, and we expect to issue
- 743 a report in late June, that was mandated by the Tobacco
- 744 Control Act, that actually will have some additional
- 745 information.
- 746 Mr. {Guthrie.} Okay, thanks. And it is my
- 747 understanding, and you said, that 99 percent of submissions
- 748 to the FDA are SEs, substantial equivalence, which in theory
- 749 these should be quicker to review than new products
- 750 submission, and yet as you note, it is taking years for them
- 751 to be reviewed. And FDA has similar pathways for other
- 752 products in other FDA agencies. It takes roughly 5 months to

```
753
     review a 510(k) for medical devices, 6 to 10 for new
754
     pharmaceutical drugs coming to market, and the CTP is taking
755
     years for these steps, for these SEs.
756
          Can you discuss the approval times at CTP compared to
757
     those of drug and device centers at FDA, and in your opinion,
758
     does CTP have -- why does CTP have such a lag on decision-
759
     making when other centers are able to turnaround products in
760
     a better manner?
761
          Ms. {Crosse.} Well, CTP was starting from scratch, and
     they indicated that they had a number of delays because they
762
     needed to hire staff, they needed to develop a process, and
763
764
     they needed to develop the science around tobacco products
765
     because these products had not been previously regulated.
     They needed to gain an understanding of the risks posed by
766
767
     different types of tobacco products, the constituents within
768
     tobacco products, and what risks might be posed by changes to
769
     tobacco products.
770
          Mr. {Guthrie.} Are they beyond those points now or are
771
     they still--
772
          Ms. {Crosse.} They still have a significant amount of
```

research underway, and, in fact, that has absorbed a lot of

773

```
774
     the budget of the Office of Science, which is the office that
775
     makes decisions about substantial equivalence. They say that
776
     they are much further along that process. They clearly are
777
     much slower than the Center for Drugs or the Center for
     Medical Devices, although I will note that GAO reported in
778
779
     1983, on the Center for Devices that had been established in
780
     1976, and we commented at that time that they were being very
781
     slow to fulfill the requirements of their authority. So I
782
     think--
783
          Mr. {Guthrie.} But--
784
          Ms. {Crosse.} --it is an issue when you are starting up
785
     a center from scratch.
786
          Mr. {Guthrie.} But there were some subsequent
     reauthorizations the product manufacturers and FDA worked
787
     through to try to find a way to work. Do you think that -- do
788
789
     you--in your opinion, do you think that Congress should
790
     impose statutory timelines?
791
          Ms. {Crosse.} You know, I don't think I have enough
792
     information to speak to that point at this point in time,
793
     because I think they are still feeling their way through it,
     and I think we don't have -- we haven't had enough information
794
```

```
795
     to base that decision on. There is a concern--they have a
796
     concern, not my concern, their concern is that when a product
797
     is approved through the SE pathway, it then can become a
798
     predicate. And so they don't want to make mistakes because
799
     they want to have an understanding of what the likely public
800
     health impact would be of a new product, because it then
801
     becomes a predicate that a subsequent product can use.
802
          Mr. {Guthrie.} Okay, and then my final question, my
803
     legislation would require the CTP to provide annual reports
804
     to Congress, all it does is outlining how their user fees are
     being spent, the number of submissions received, the number
805
806
     of applications approved or denied, and the number still
807
     pending and the number of modified risk products. That is
808
     what this application does -- that is what this legislation I
809
     proposed does.
810
          In your opinion, is this information that the CTP has
     readily available? I mean if we pass this Bill today, would
811
812
     that information be available for the CTP to provide, or do
813
     you think it would be a burden on the CTP to provide that
814
     information?
815
          Ms. {Crosse.} No, I believe this is information that
```

```
816
     they have readily available. And, in fact, my understanding
817
     is that the appropriators have put report language in to do--
818
     to require something similar.
819
          Mr. {Guthrie.} Do you think that is helpful information
820
     to have--for Congress to have?
821
          Ms. {Crosse.} I think it is appropriate for Congress to
822
    have information on the operations of the center. There is
823
     already a required report, but it does not require that those
824
     specifics be included.
825
          Mr. {Guthrie.} Well, and I thank you for coming. I
     think you--all--every time you testify, you always do a good
826
827
     job, and I--and you do your job well and testify well. I
828
     appreciate it very much.
829
          Ms. {Crosse.} Thank you.
830
          Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you, and I yield back.
831
          Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentleman.
832
          Now recognize the gentlelady, Dr. Christensen, 5 minutes
833
     for questions.
834
          Dr. {Christensen.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good
    morning, Dr. Crosse.
835
836
          Ms. {Crosse.} Good morning.
```

```
837
          Dr. {Christensen.} Thank you for your testimony. I
     remember when the law was being drafted, and one of the key
838
839
     issues for the Congressional Black Caucus for many
     organizations and for all of the living past HHS Secretaries
840
841
     was a menthol issue, and I know that FDA was granted broad
842
     authority to address menthol as an additive in cigarettes,
843
     ranging from doing nothing, to reducing the concentration, to
844
     removing menthol altogether. And I appreciate the approach
845
     the FDA has taking around the issue of other flavorings and
846
     the sensitivity.
          My question to you would be, are you able to provide an
847
848
     update about where FDA is on the menthol issue, in
849
     particular, what types of studies have been conducted,
     whether menthol--have they been able to determine whether
850
851
     menthol exacerbates directly or indirectly the incidence of
852
     lung cancer, et cetera, and if there are any preliminary
853
     results?
854
          Ms. {Crosse.} I am afraid I don't have that
855
     information. That is specific to menthol.
          Dr. {Christensen.} Okay. Well, my other question is--
856
     goes back to the fees. Again, we thank you for your
857
```

858 testimony on the fees. My colleagues have commented on user 859 fee carryover, and how the user fees are being spent, and I 860 want to make sure the record is clear on a few points. What portion of FDA's tobacco user fees have been spent 861 as of December 31, 2013? 862 863 Ms. {Crosse.} They have spent 81 percent of the user 864 fees they have received through that time. 865 Dr. {Christensen.} Thank you. You mentioned that most 866 of the user fees were spent by 3 offices at FDA, one of which is the Office of Health, Communication and Education, and as 867 868 you stated today, FDA devoted a portion of its fiscal year 869 2013 user fees on a public health education campaign. From 870 your review of FDA's user fee spending, can you tell the subcommittee whether the Agency's user fee spending is 871 872 consistent with the purposes and authorities of the Tobacco 873 Control Act? 874 Ms. {Crosse.} Yes. We did not identify any spending 875 that was not consistent with their authorities, and the 876 different provisions of the Tobacco Control Act. Dr. {Christensen.} Thank you. Since the investment in 877 the Real Cost Campaign has come up this morning, I wanted to

878

879 take a moment to comment on the importance of this campaign. 880 It is an evidenced-based campaign that launched in 881 February, and will target millions of youth between the ages 882 of 12 and 17 who are already experimenting with cigarettes, that are open to smoking. So, Mr. Chairman, we know that the 883 884 vast majority of current smokers started when they were kids. 885 Every day in the U.S., more than 3,200 kids smoke their first 886 cigarettes, and more than 700 youth aged under 18 become 887 daily smokers. So these statistics underscore the need for targeted youth tobacco prevention efforts, particularly when 888 you put this investment in context. The amount FDA spent on 889 890 the Real Cost Campaign for the entire year was less than the 891 amount the tobacco industry spends on marketing and promotional efforts for a single week. 892 893 And--well, I have some more time. Yes. So the GAO 894 makes clear that FDA review of new products must become more 895 efficient and effective. I am concerned that they are not 896 placing enough priority on requiring changes to products that 897 are already on the market to make them less harmful or 898 addictive. The most recent Surgeon General's report found that cigarettes are more dangerous today than they were the 899

```
900
     first--when the first Surgeon General's report on smoking was
901
     issued 50 years ago. Remarkably, cigarette smokers today
902
     have a higher risk for lung cancer than smokers in 1964,
903
     despite smoking fewer cigarettes. The Surgeon General report
     also found that some, if not all, of this increased risk is
904
905
     likely caused by changes in the composition and design of
906
     cigarettes. Fortunately, FDA now has the authority to set
907
     product standards that require changes to products to make
908
     them less harmful or addictive.
909
          Do you know if FDA plans to respond to the alarming
     findings in the more recent Surgeon General's report, and if
910
911
     there are any plans underway for FDA to use its authority to
912
     set product standards?
913
          Ms. {Crosse.} I am not aware of specific regulatory
914
     actions that FDA may have underway, but they do have a number
     of different studies, scientific studies, to try to
915
916
     understand, I think, the impact and the risks posed by
917
     different constituents in tobacco products.
918
          Dr. {Christensen.} Thank you.
919
          And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.
920
     Thank you.
```

921 Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentlelady. Now recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Dr. 922 923 Murphy, 5 minutes for questions. Mr. {Murphy.} Hello, Doctor. Good to have you here 924 925 today. 926 Ms. {Crosse.} Thank you. 927 Mr. {Murphy.} You say in your report CTP is limited in 928 its ability to evaluate policies, procedures and staffing 929 resources in relation to its substantial equivalence review 930 process, and in turn is limited in its ability to reasonably assure efficiency and effectiveness. 931 So in your conversations with the Agency, did you get a 932 feel for how the approval process would be affected if FDA 933 proposes deeming regulations for other products that it 934 935 doesn't currently regulate? Ms. {Crosse.} Well, certainly, industry expressed 936 937 concerns to us that as--if the number of products to be 938 regulated is greatly expanded, that FDA's--will not have 939 sufficient resources, will not have sufficient staff to be 940 able to review those applications. FDA assured us that they believe that the challenges of initially staffing the office 941

942 are behind them, and that they believe they could go through 943 routine processes if they need to hire or train additional staff, and that additional products under their regulatory 944 945 authority would not pose new challenges. Mr. {Murphy.} Will that fulfill all the things that 946 947 they need to do prior to issuing deeming regulations to make 948 sure the backlog isn't made worse? 949 Ms. {Crosse.} I don't believe that it is required that 950 they complete those steps prior to issuing deeming 951 regulations. I -- you know, we think that it is important that they get their processes under control with routine 952 953 procedures and time frames established for staff so that they 954 can better determine how many staff they need. We think that without having those kinds of benchmarks in place, it is 955 956 difficult for them to determine for themselves whether they have the essential resources, and whether there are 957 958 bottlenecks in certain parts of their process. 959 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay, thank you. Is it fair to say that 960 reviewing new tobacco product submissions, and approving or denying them for entrance into the marketplace, is one of the 961 core functions to the Center for Tobacco Products under the 962

```
963
     Tobacco Control Act?
964
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, it is one of the core functions.
965
          Mr. {Murphy.} And is it also fair to say that reviewing
     substantial equivalence applications is one of the three main
966
     determinations that CTP has in carrying out its--this core
967
968
     function of reviewing new tobacco products for marketplace
969
     suitability?
970
          Ms. {Crosse.} That is part of their authority, yes.
971
          Mr. {Murphy.} You state in your study CTP is ``limited
     in its ability to evaluate policies, procedures and staffing
972
     resources in relation to its substantial equivalence review
973
     process, and in turn, is limited in its ability to reasonably
974
975
     assure efficiency and effectiveness.''
976
          So given your review that CTP is limited in its ability
977
     to reasonably assure efficiency and effectiveness, in this
978
     core function of reviewing SC or premarket applications, do
979
     you believe CTP is presently capable of handling even more
980
     responsibilities and a much greater volume of applications
981
     which would result from the new deeming rule CTP and FDA plan
982
     to propose to dramatically expand its scope of authorities
     under the Tobacco Control Act?
983
```

```
984
          Ms. {Crosse.} You know, I don't think I have sufficient
985
      insight into that, but even if FDA proposes this deeming, I
986
     believe it will be a number of years before such regulations
987
     would go into effect in the normal course of how long it
      takes to get a regulation in place, so there may be a number
988
989
      of years further before any new products would actually begin
990
      to be regulated by FDA. So I, you know, I don't--I can't
991
      speak to what may happen in the future in terms of--
992
          Mr. {Murphy.} Sure.
993
          Ms. {Crosse.} --them dealing with their backlog.
994
          Mr. {Murphy.} Well, we want to work you in this, but I
995
      am trying to find out if you have confidence that CTP can at
996
      this time, given its backlog it already has of SE
997
      applications, efficiently and effectively process a whole new
998
      onslaught of applications that would rise from a new deeming
999
      rule.
1000
          Ms. {Crosse.} I think were they to arrive today, that
     would pose a problem. As I say, I can't predict how soon new
1001
1002
     product applications might arrive, and what their status
1003
     would be of their backlog at that point in time.
1004
          Mr. {Murphy.} Well, I am--what do you infer from the
```

```
1005
      fact that -- I understand there is zero premarket tobacco
1006
     product applications have been submitted. There is no
1007
     statutory--do you have any thoughts on that?
1008
           Ms. {Crosse.} Actually, there were--I think--believe
      that there were four that were submitted--
1009
1010
           Mr. {Murphy.} Okay.
1011
           Ms. {Crosse.} --but none were found to have all of the
1012
      information that FDA required.
1013
           You know, it is a different standard. It is not unlike
1014
     with medical devices where there are many more products that
1015
     go through the 510(k) process, as opposed to the PMA process.
1016
     Here, this is for products where there is no predicate
1017
     product that they can point to, so there is not a similar
1018
     prior product on the market before February 15, 2007, that
1019
      they can point to and say this product is like that, or like
1020
      an approved product through the SE process to say that that
1021
      is a predicate. So, you know, as more products get approved
1022
      through the SE--
1023
           Mr. {Murphy.} Um-hum.
1024
           Ms. {Crosse.} --process, there may be predicates
1025
      available that could continue to allow products--
```

```
1026
          Mr. {Murphy.} Well, is it--
1027
          Ms. {Crosse.} --to go in that pathway. The PMTA
1028
     process requires a lot of different information than
1029
     manufacturers may have yet developed.
1030
           Mr. {Murphy.} I hope one of the questions you can
1031
     answer in writing later on is about a new product review
1032
     being more complex than a substantial equivalence review, and
1033
     help us with that information.
1034
           Thank you very much. I yield back.
1035
          Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentleman.
1036
           And now recognizes the vice chairman of the
1037
      subcommittee, Dr. Burgess, 5 minutes for questions.
1038
           Dr. {Burgess.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Dr. Crosse.
     Welcome to our subcommittee again.
1039
1040
          Ms. {Crosse.} Thank you.
1041
           Dr. {Burgess.} I am sorry I had to step out for a
1042
     moment, but just tell me if you have already-- and I
1043
      apologize if you have already addressed this, but what is the
1044
     average time that a substantial equivalence has been sitting
1045
      at the Center for Tobacco Products?
1046
          Ms. {Crosse.} The bulk of the applications have been
```

```
sitting there since March of 2011. They received over 3,000
1047
1048
      applications in the first 3 weeks of March 2011, just prior
1049
      to the deadline for a provisional SE product, and so that
1050
     those products can be marketed until FDA makes a decision.
1051
     And so the bulk of the backlog has been sitting there for now
1052
     more than 3 years.
1053
           Dr. {Burgess.} So you evaluate other agencies that have
1054
      a substantial equivalence pathway, do you not?
1055
           Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, we--well, the medical devices at
1056
     FDA.
1057
           Dr. {Burgess.} So is this an unusual backlog, given
1058
      your experience with other substantial equivalence pathways?
1059
           Ms. {Crosse.} I do think it is an unusual backlog. I
      think it was a bit of an unusual circumstance because of the
1060
1061
     deadline that resulted in this bolus of applications all in a
     very short period of time, rather than a growing steady
1062
1063
     stream.
1064
           Dr. {Burgess.} Okay. Given that, the way the
1065
      information was delivered, does it seem to be that they are
1066
      accommodating at the Center for Tobacco Products now,
1067
     accommodating this bolus that they received?
```

```
1068
          Ms. {Crosse.} They have, as of yet, only made four
1069
      decisions. So they still have that bolus sitting there.
1070
     They have made some progress in sorting through it, but they
1071
     have not yet reached decisions.
1072
           Dr. {Burgess.} And the 4 decisions that they have
1073
      reached, were those positive or negative decisions?
1074
          Ms. {Crosse.} Those were negative decisions. They
1075
     ordered four products off the market.
1076
           Dr. {Burgess.} Can you give the committee--and maybe I
1077
      should know this, but can you give the committee an idea of
1078
     what were those products?
1079
           Ms. {Crosse.} They were four products that are called
1080
     Beedies, I believe. They are an Indonesian type of
1081
     cigarette, and they--FDA said that sufficient information on
1082
      a predicate had not been supplied by the manufacturer in
1083
      order to meet the standard for a determination of substantial
1084
     equivalence.
1085
           Dr. {Burgess.} So was that a product that was already
1086
      on the shelves prior to the passage of the CTP?
1087
           Ms. {Crosse.} No. If it required a provisional SE
      application, it would have been a product that came onto the
1088
```

```
1089
     market in the United States after February 15, 2007, but
1090
     before March 22, 2011. So in that window of time, products
1091
     that came onto the market were required to submit these
1092
     provisional SE applications.
1093
           Dr. {Burgess.} Well, what is your opinion on the--why
      the Center for Tobacco Products has this lag in their
1094
1095
     decision-making, when other centers are able to turn things
1096
     around in a more timely fashion?
1097
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, they did have to staff up from
1098
               They had to develop their procedures. They had--
      scratch.
1099
     they have taken a lot of time, they tell us, to try to
     understand the science of tobacco, which they did not have
1100
1101
     sufficient information on before, and they have now engaged
1102
     both in contracts with CDC and with NIH, and with
1103
     universities, to try to gain a better understanding of the
1104
      risks posed by different types of tobacco products and
1105
     constituents in tobacco products.
1106
           Dr. {Burgess.} Dr. Crosse, I believe I could help them
1107
     there. When used as directed, 480,000 deaths a year. What
1108
      is there to the science that they don't understand? It is a
1109
     dangerous product.
```

```
1110
          Ms. {Crosse.} Well, the standard requires that they
1111
     determine whether or not this new--the new product is any
1112
     more dangerous, poses different dangers to public health than
1113
     the existing products, because the existing products are
1114
     allowed to continue to be marketed.
1115
           Dr. {Burgess.} So what if Congress were to establish a
1116
      timeline of 90 days for substantial equivalence applications,
1117
     and 180 days for new tobacco product applications, would that
1118
     be helpful or hurtful?
1119
           Ms. {Crosse.} I don't know whether or not they could
1120
     meet that standard at the current time. There may come a
1121
     point in time where they have regular procedures and where
1122
      they do not have such a backlog, but I don't know if that
     would help them or not. I just don't have the information to
1123
1124
      say.
           Dr. {Burgess.} Well, it can't be a resource or a
1125
1126
      revenue issue, correct?
1127
           Ms. {Crosse.} That is correct. They tell us that they
1128
     now have over 500 staff, and they believe that that is a
1129
      fairly steady state for them, and they have resources, they
     have not expended all their user fees.
1130
```

1131 Dr. {Burgess.} 500 staff in an agency that didn't even 1132 exist 5 years ago, and a surplus of user fees. I--you know, 1133 I just have to say I am mystified as to why we are having to 1134 study this. It shouldn't even be a problem. 1135 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back. 1136 Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentleman. 1137 Now recognize the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Green, 5 1138 minutes for questions. 1139 Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and the Ranking 1140 Member for having the hearing today, and, Dr. Crosse, for 1141 your testimony. 1142 The 2009 Tobacco Control Act was historic in saving 1143 legislation representing the first time the FDA was granted 1144 the authority to regulate tobacco products, and I hope this 1145 is just the first series of hearings on implementation of the Tobacco Control Act. And I agree with my Texas colleague 1146 1147 that this was the first center--new center in the FDA in 20 1148 years. Is that correct? Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, actually, and that was when the 1149 1150 Center for Devices -- for Drugs and Biologics was divided into

two centers. So in--even in that situation, it was not

1151

1152 creating a center from scratch. 1153 Mr. {Green.} Okay. Well, and I guess I am concerned 1154 like he is, we have that number of staff members and yet we 1155 are not moving as quick as we could. 1156 The law is necessary. The next step is addressing 1157 tobacco use, which is is initiated and sustained by the 1158 aggressive and sometimes dubious strategies of the tobacco 1159 industry. Its continued effective implication would allow 1160 the FDA to reduce tobacco product addictiveness and harm, and 1161 take other necessary actions. According to the GAO report, 1162 tobacco product, FDA needs to set time frames for review 1163 process. The FDA Center for Tobacco Products created by the 1164 Tobacco Control Act has gotten off to a slow start, and I 1165 want a better understanding what is the issue. 1166 I understand it is conducting your reviews to the tobacco products submissions, and the Agency is using a new 1167 1168 public health standard, one that is different than the safe 1169 and effective standard used for medical products. Can you 1170 strive--describe that standard that the FDA must be using in 1171 reviewing these submissions? 1172 Ms. {Crosse.} Yes. They need to understand whether or

1173 not the product is going to pose any different risks to 1174 public health than currently legal tobacco products, and by 1175 that, that means to the public health in general, both to the 1176 users of those products, but also to non-users, to people who 1177 may be exposed in other ways, either to fumes or in some 1178 other way to the constituents of that product. 1179 Mr. {Green.} The GAO report focused on the need for the 1180 FDA to establish time frames for making decisions on 1181 submissions as a performance measure to improve the CTP 1182 review process. I want to ask you more about GAO's 1183 recommendation. In making its recommendation, did GAO 1184 consider other performance measures besides established 1185 timelines that could be helpful in reviewing the SE 1186 submissions in a more timely manner? 1187 Ms. {Crosse.} Well, in part, we particularly focused on the time frames because it was clear that this was taking 1188 substantial amounts of time, and that they had not 1189 1190 established any benchmarks either for individual staff 1191 performance or for the performance of the center as a whole. 1192 We certainly think it is important that they understand what kinds of guidances are necessary, and what kind of 1193

1194 communications with industry may be helpful, but also what 1195 kinds of information is most important to share with the public. And it is only in the last year that they have put 1196 1197 out those major contracts for media campaigns to try to 1198 address their responsibility for reducing the use of tobacco 1199 products by youth. 1200 Mr. {Green.} Okay. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate this 1201 hearing, and hopefully, we will have someone from the FDA 1202 because they have come to our hearings pretty often, and to 1203 come back and explain what they are doing 5 years later. 1204 I also want to remind my colleagues that, according to 1205 the GAO, the vast majority of substantial equivalence backlog 1206 for products that can remain on the market while the FDA 1207 reviews their applications, the majority of the substantial 1208 equivalence applications submitted to FDA were incomplete, 1209 slowing down the review process as the Agency had to request 1210 additional information and await responses from tobacco 1211 companies. 1212 Last week the FDA announced 1/4 of the regular 1213 substantial equivalence applications had already been 1214 resolved, and FDA has stated the Agency is ready to initiate

- 1215 review of any newly submitted applications.
- 1216 Even as the FDA becomes more efficient in its review
- 1217 process, it is important to make sure that the new products
- 1218 coming on the market through the substantial equivalence
- 1219 pathway are not causing greater harm to the public health.
- 1220 And I would hope our subcommittee would continue to monitor
- 1221 this to see just how the Tobacco Control Act is being
- 1222 enforced, and--because a lot have supported it, and feel like
- 1223 the FDA needs to do their job.
- 1224 So I yield back my time.
- 1225 Mr. {Pitts.} Gentleman yields back.
- 1226 The Chair recognizes the gentlelady from North Carolina
- 1227 5 minutes for questions please.
- 1228 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you,
- 1229 Dr. Crosse, for being with us today on this issue.
- 1230 I too was hopeful that a representative from the FDA
- 1231 would be with us. I know it is difficult for you to be able
- 1232 to answer some of the questions, you know, simply based on
- 1233 the study and report that was put forward, and I know that
- 1234 you can see, and I think you share with us, you know, the
- 1235 questions of why this hasn't moved quicker than it should.

```
1236
     And I think you have identified a few things. One, because
1237
     they collect the user fees, there is plenty of revenue, they
1238
     have got their staff in place. What is left? What is left
1239
     to keep them from moving forward in a more timely fashion?
1240
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, one thing that they have told us
1241
      that they continue to try to determine exactly what
1242
      information they may need in applications. Representative
1243
     Green was correct in that a number of the initial
1244
     applications did not contain information that FDA determined
1245
      subsequently--
1246
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
          Ms. {Crosse.} --that they needed in order to reach
1247
1248
      decisions. Now, some of those deadlines required that
      applications come in--
1249
1250
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
           Ms. {Crosse.} --prior to FDA putting out guidance on
1251
     what was needed. And so there has been a lot of back-and-
1252
1253
      forth. At this point in time though, certainly, you know, I-
1254
      -there has been sufficient time for--I believe, for them to
1255
     have--
1256
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} That they should have--
```

```
1257
          Ms. {Crosse.} --for them to have identified what kinds
1258
     of information they need in an application--
1259
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} So at what point did the FDA put out
1260
      the guidance for those application requests?
          Ms. {Crosse.} I don't have a date in my head. I'm
1261
1262
      sorry. We can find out--
1263
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} Well, if you can get that--
1264
          Ms. {Crosse.} We can find out--
1265
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} --I would like to know.
1266
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes.
1267
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} I want to make sure that there are
1268
      guidelines in place, first of all. But there again, I, you
1269
      know, I am kind of stumped, and, you know, I realize that
1270
     much of what we do and the government can be very
1271
     bureaucratic and not necessarily move as quickly as the
1272
     private marketplace, but as you can see, this is affecting
      the private marketplace. I mean, obviously, there are
1273
1274
     products that can't move forward and get on the market as a
1275
      result of this, and one of the things that I have been
1276
      thinking about in relation to this is how does this
     particular situation with the Tobacco Control Act and--differ
1277
```

```
1278
      from other user fee industry-related--what is missing? One
1279
     of the things that I support my colleague Brett Guthrie for
1280
     his legislation, and I also associate myself to Dr. Burgess'
1281
     comments on, you know, setting a timeline in place as well,
1282
     but one of the things that I realize is missing is this can
1283
      just go on into perpetuity. There is no sundown, there is no
1284
      re-evaluation or need for reauthorization of this particular
1285
     Act.
1286
           In your opinion, would this be helpful for us to be able
1287
      to help enforce what the CTP is doing?
           Ms. {Crosse.} You know, I don't think I am in a
1288
1289
     position to weigh-in on whether or not it would be helpful to
1290
     have it sunset. The user fee structure is quite different.
1291
      The responsibilities assigned to FDA--
1292
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
1293
           Ms. {Crosse.} --under this Act are quite different.
1294
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
1295
           Ms. {Crosse.} The user fees are intended to fund not
1296
      only the reviews of the product applications as they are in--
1297
      for devices or for drugs, for example, but also to fund the
     research, the media campaigns and the enforcement of--
1298
```

```
1299
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
1300
          Ms. {Crosse.} -- the requirements of the Tobacco Control
1301
     Act, and FDA has undertaken a lot of enforcement actions to
1302
      try to ensure that teenagers are prevented from having access
1303
      to purchase tobacco products.
1304
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} But at the same time, I mean there is
1305
     obviously, you know, as you can see, you know, and I know you
1306
     agree with, I mean there is just this incredible backlog.
1307
           So I mean are there other situations like this where we
1308
     have user fees that are being shared, where there isn't a
1309
      sundown provision, or there isn't reauthorization in place?
1310
           Ms. {Crosse.} You know, I am not qualified to speak to
1311
     user fees across the Federal Government. I don't believe
1312
      there are similar circumstances at FDA, but there may be user
1313
      fee programs in other government agencies that are similar,
1314
      that I just am not aware of.
1315
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Okay. Well, there again, I, you know,
1316
      there--I think this is just one of those issues that, you
1317
     know, we are all kind of baffled by, you know, why this is,
1318
      and it almost seems as if it is not a, you know, organized
     effort to keep things, you know, products from moving
1319
```

1320 forward. And I do think that this is something that I would 1321 like to continue to work on, and there again, I--I am out of 1322 time. 1323 Thank you very much for coming today, and helping us to 1324 understand this issue. 1325 And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the remainder of my 1326 time. 1327 Mr. {Pitts.} Gentlelady yields back. 1328 The Chair now recognizes the distinguished gentleman 1329 from Louisiana, the Honorable Bill Cassidy, 5 minutes for 1330 questions please. 1331 Dr. {Cassidy.} Thank you. 1332 The increase in sales of pipe tobacco. People aren't buying a lot more pipes, so I presume they are rolling this 1333 1334 in some sort of paper and making their own cigarettes? 1335 Ms. {Crosse.} GAO put out a report last year that--or 1336 2012 rather, that pointed out a huge shift in the use of pipe 1337 tobacco for roll-your-own cigarettes, subsequent to the 1338 changes in taxation on different types of tobacco products in 1339 the Children's Health Improvement Program Reauthorization

Act, CHIPRA, in 2009, when taxes were greatly increased on

1340

1341 certain types of tobacco products, there was a tremendous 1342 shift so that consumers were on longer using roll-your-own 1343 tobacco, but rather using pipe tobacco for roll-your-own 1344 cigarettes. And we have substantial data pointing to a huge shift in that market, and a huge loss of revenue to the 1345 Federal Government because of that shift. 1346 1347 Dr. {Cassidy.} Can you see--can you make a questimate 1348 as to whether or not there has been any discouragement--let 1349 me start over. If we know that there is a certain amount of 1350 regular cigarettes which are purchased, and then there is the roll-your-own, we have raised taxes on the regular 1351 1352 cigarettes, does it not look like it is the same amount of 1353 tobacco being consumed, or is there a decrease in the per 1354 capita use of tobacco? 1355 Ms. {Crosse.} The data in that report did not point to any decrease in the overall use of tobacco, but rather to a 1356 shift in order to avoid taxes. 1357 1358 Dr. {Cassidy.} So we shifted, if you will, from 1359 something which is at least filtered, with--to something that 1360 is unfiltered, arguably which has more health implications by using it unfiltered. 1361

```
1362
           Ms. {Crosse.} You know, some roll-your-owns, I believe,
1363
      actually can attach a filter from some machines, and I can't
1364
      speak to where--what the proportion is of the different types
1365
      of roll-your-own tobacco cigarettes that are made in these
      tobacco shops using now pipe tobacco instead of roll-your-own
1366
1367
      tobacco.
1368
           Dr. {Cassidy.} Now, under the Family Smoking Prevention
1369
      Tobacco Control Act, roll-your-own tobacco is any tobacco
1370
     product which, I am reading here, because of its appearance,
1371
      type, packaging, labeling, is suitable for use or likely to
     be offered to or purchased by consumers of tobacco for making
1372
1373
     cigarettes. Cigarette tobacco is defined as a product
1374
     consisting of loose tobacco intended for use by consumers in
1375
     a cigarette.
1376
           In your opinion, does the product labeled as pipe
      tobacco, about which you reported in April of '12, meet
1377
      either or both of these definitions?
1378
1379
           Ms. {Crosse.} You know, the Treasury, which imposes the
1380
      taxes, indicated that it was difficult for them to make that
1381
      distinction between the roll-your-own tobacco and the pipe
     tobacco that sold in tobacco shops.
1382
```

```
1383
           Dr. {Cassidy.} So related to that then, let me just ask
1384
      specifically, are there any provisions in the Tobacco Control
1385
     Act which permit a manufacturer product which meets either
1386
      or--either definition, to exempt themselves from the Act
      simply by labeling their product something other than roll-
1387
1388
      your-own? Could it be the exact same tobacco, in this bag it
1389
      is called roll-your-own, taxed, and here it is pipe tobacco,
1390
     not taxed?
1391
          Ms. {Crosse.} I can't speak to that. I know that the
1392
     pipe tobacco is allowed to be flavored, so that if it is a
1393
      flavored product, it could not be--currently be labeled as
1394
      roll-your-own, because that is currently regulated by FDA and
1395
      flavorings are prohibited. But in terms of the constituents
1396
      or, you know, the extent to which the tobacco has been finely
1397
     chopped or requires a certain blend, I don't know if that
1398
      could be the same.
1399
           Dr. {Cassidy.} Okay, thank you.
1400
           I will yield the remainder of my time to Mr. Guthrie.
1401
          Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you. I--one of my colleagues on
1402
      the other side did mention that last week, right before this
1403
     hearing, CTP put out that they are working to get rid of the
```

```
1404
     backlog, and it is a massive move to get rid of the backlog.
1405
     But as I understand it, there are two lines. There is one
1406
      line you get into to say, if you get in this line, we are
1407
      going to tell you to go to that line, and that line is the
1408
      one that matters, and all they did was say we are not going
1409
      to make you go through two lines now, you are going to have
1410
      to go to the back of the other line.
1411
           So there was no--the announcement that they made, it is
1412
     my understanding, did not improve the determinations whether
1413
      it is safe or unsafe, or can be sold or not sold. All it did
1414
     was say, we are just going to make one line longer and--by
1415
      getting rid of the other line. Is that an accurate
1416
     description?
           Ms. {Crosse.} You know, I--that is not my understanding
1417
1418
     of the announcement that they made. I believe that the
1419
      announcement focused on the regular SE submissions, and the
1420
      line for products that are not currently allowed to be on the
1421
     market, I--you know, those provisional SEs--I would restate,
1422
      the large bolus of applications that are sitting there
1423
     waiting in the queue, those products are currently on the
1424
     market. They do not have to await an FDA decision to enter
```

```
1425
      the market, they are on the market. They are waiting for a
1426
     decision about whether or not they can remain on the market
1427
     or have to be removed.
1428
           So FDA is focusing on working at the backlog of
      applications for products that cannot enter the market until
1429
1430
      they have reached a decision. That is a smaller group. It
1431
      is somewhere--they received something over 900 applications
1432
      for those products, and they have reached 30 decisions. So
1433
      that is the backlog--my understanding of their announcement
1434
      is that is the backlog that they are focusing on right now.
1435
          Mr. {Pitts.} Okay--
1436
          Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you.
1437
          Mr. {Pitts.} --well, the gentleman's time has expired.
           The Chair now recognizes the gentlelady from California
1438
1439
      5 minutes for questions please.
1440
           Mrs. {Capps.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, Dr.
1441
     Crosse, thank you for your testimony.
1442
           As a public health nurse, the issue of tobacco use, and
1443
      our nation's wellbeing and healthcare expenditures is one
1444
      that we cannot ignore. Thanks to the Tobacco Control Act,
1445
     tobacco companies can no longer mark light or low-tar
```

```
1446
      cigarettes, misleading smokers who may otherwise have quit,
1447
     but we do know there is much more we need to do to hold
1448
      tobacco companies accountable for their marketing, and I urge
1449
      the Chairman to hold a hearing on the many issues that
     Ranking Member Waxman pointed out, but especially on e-
1450
1451
      cigarettes and the other products that continue to be
1452
      targeted at our young people.
1453
           We should not lose sight of why the Tobacco Control Act
1454
      requires tobacco companies to receive authorization to market
1455
      their products in the first place, and I encourage the
      subcommittee to hold hearings on the continual efforts by
1456
1457
      tobacco companies through stricter rules, as opposed to a
1458
     hearing like this, based on the business concerns of these
1459
      same companies.
1460
           Dr. Crosse, I understand that there were some initial
      roadblocks that slowed down the review process for
1461
1462
      substantial equivalence, or SE submissions, and are being
1463
      addressed by FDA.
1464
           Ms. {Crosse.} That is my understanding.
           Mrs. {Capps.} Okay. Could you please comment on the
1465
      extent to which incomplete submissions from manufacturers has
1466
```

```
1467
     been a roadblock to the review process?
1468
           Ms. {Crosse.} Yes. Both FDA and manufacturers told us
1469
      that incomplete submissions did slow down the review, that
1470
     manufacturers did not have a good understanding of what
1471
      information was required, or--and FDA itself was still
1472
      developing its understanding of what information it might
1473
     need in order to reach a decision. And so virtually every
1474
      application that has come in has required some communication
1475
     with the manufacturer to try to either understand part of the
1476
     application, or to obtain additional information to
     supplement the application.
1477
1478
           Mrs. {Capps.} So this clearly needs to be addressed.
1479
           And could you elaborate on the steps FDA has taken to
      improve its review process?
1480
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, they have undertaken a lot of
1481
      research to understand the science behind different tobacco
1482
1483
     products, they have organized their staff and their
1484
     procedures in order to have a number of routine steps that an
      application goes through, so that there now is a
1485
1486
      jurisdictional review initially, and then a completeness
     review that takes place before a product enters actually the
1487
```

```
1488
      scientific review for the merits of the product.
1489
           And so they have organized a process, they have
1490
      developed steps, they have identified staff who are
1491
      responsible for those--the different steps of the process,
     but they have yet to complete the process for very many of
1492
1493
      the applications.
1494
           Mrs. {Capps.} In September of last year, the GAO
1495
     recommended that FDA establish time frames for making
1496
     decisions on new tobacco product submissions.
1497
           You indicated in your testimony today that FDA agreed
     with GAO's recommendations, and plans to identify time frames
1498
1499
      for decision-making on new tobacco products submission. And
1500
      the Agency, is it still on track to identify these time
1501
      frames this spring?
1502
           Ms. {Crosse.} Yeah, it is on track to identify time
      frames for the regular SE submissions. They have not yet
1503
      decided when their--when they will have time frames in place
1504
1505
      for the provisional SE submissions because they tell us they
1506
     do not yet have enough experience themselves with getting
1507
      something through the complete process to know what time
1508
      frames to establish.
```

1509 Mrs. {Capps.} Well, it is helpful for the subcommittee 1510 to hear that FDA has already agreed to establish and 1511 implement performance measures, including decision-making 1512 time frames, for regular SE submissions. Excuse me. 1513 Once these standards are in place, we can better monitor 1514 FDA's progress. As FDA has focused on regular SE 1515 submissions, and continues to undertake these reviews, have 1516 the review times improved? 1517 Ms. {Crosse.} The review times have improved, we 1518 understand, for the regular SE submissions. It is not clear 1519 that they have improved for the provisional SE submissions because they haven't made very many decisions yet, so we 1520 1521 can't see any kind of trend. Mrs. {Capps.} I see. You know, for decades, tobacco 1522 1523 companies deliberately misled the public about the risks of 1524 smoking, and there is evidence that today's products are 1525 perhaps even more harmful and addictive than those from past 1526 decades. 1527 My colleagues on the other side of the aisle have talked about setting time frames for review of these SE submissions. 1528

Mr. Chairman, we need to hear from FDA about the wisdom of

1530 this approach. We should be incentivizing tobacco companies 1531 to manufacture products that reduce harmfulness, not delay 1532 that process further. 1533 And I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. {Pitts.} Gentlelady yields back. 1534 1535 Now recognize the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Griffith, 1536 5 minutes. Your questions please. 1537 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 1538 Thank you, Dr. Crosse, for being here today. Appreciate 1539 that. I was a little concerned with some of the folks who 1540 1541 said, on the other side of the aisle, that we needed to be 1542 more accommodating. I was pleased that the Acting Chairman went through the list of things that we did to accommodate 1543 1544 the FDA. Not only did we say that other people could show 1545 up, as opposed to the head of this particular department, but 1546 that they didn't have to have a written statement that had to 1547 be approved in advance, we are just trying to get to the 1548 information. 1549 And, you know, I had to make the comment to the Acting

Chair that when I ran for election, I thought I was being

```
1551
     elected to the United States Congress, not to a discussion
1552
     committee to accommodate every whim of bureaucracy. And so I
1553
     am a little disturbed that the FDA didn't bother to send
1554
      somebody here to testify today, particularly in light of the
1555
      fact of the accommodations that were made to say, okay, you
1556
      don't have to have a written statement, you can send somebody
1557
     who is, you know, a deputy. We understand they might say, I
1558
     don't know the answer to that question, that is a little bit
1559
     outside of my realm, but I will get you an answer. Sometimes
1560
     those things happen, but it is interesting that, you know,
     with all the busy schedules that so many of us are keeping,
1561
1562
     we were able to have this hearing, but nobody from the--how
1563
     many employees did you say there were, over 500, with this
     particular division of the FDA?
1564
1565
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes.
1566
           Mr. {Griffith.} That none of those 500-and-some people
1567
      could accommodate the United States Congress.
1568
           That being said, I will say that the Agency, you know,
1569
     as it states, is responsible for advancing the public health
1570
     by helping to speed innovations. Further, they state the
     Agency protects, promotes the health and safety of all
1571
```

1572 Americans by promoting innovation that addresses public 1573 health needs. 1574 Ms. Crosse, is it your opinion that the FDA is able to 1575 keep pace with the advances in science and product technology, not only for the Center for Tobacco Products, but 1576 1577 for other industries it regulates? And before you answer, 1578 let me tell you one of the concerns I have is also--is 1579 working on the mobile apps that are out there, and I have 1580 talked to the FDA about this, but you can do all kinds of 1581 things on your cell phone today that you didn't used to be able to do, and I related to them on one occasion that, in 1582 1583 Africa, a team of doctors were able to put together a \$8 hack 1584 that would send pictures back of parasites found in 1585 children's stool, and get it immediately analyzed by somebody 1586 in the United States. And I said can we use that in our 1587 country if somebody comes up with that, or does it have to 1588 first go through your regulatory process, and the answer was 1589 basically, well, if they are using it to diagnose what type 1590 of parasite it is, that makes it diagnostic, it would have to 1591 be regulated. Sometimes it seems they are just not, my opinion, they are just not able to keep up. 1592

```
1593
           If you can answer that question, both in regard to the
1594
      Center for Tobacco Products and in other areas from your
1595
     observation, to the best of your ability.
1596
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, I can't speak directly to the
     mobile apps, but we have previously examined activities at
1597
1598
     FDA, and raised some concerns certainly in the Center for
1599
     Devices about their ability to have staff with all of the
1600
      technical expertise for the rapidly changing technologies,
1601
     and for the software that is included in medical devices, for
1602
      example. That has been a concern that we have identified in
1603
      the past, and that FDA has acknowledged is a challenge for
1604
      them.
1605
           Mr. {Griffith.} I appreciate that.
1606
           I would say in regard to the tobacco products, and I
1607
     don't know the answer, we all want to know what is in the
1608
     products, what the health effects are of those products, and
1609
     we certainly want them to get that done in a timely fashion.
1610
      I will say that, you know, when I was in the fourth grade,
1611
      growing up in Virginia, they used to teach us the history
1612
      that the first--one of the first times that somebody was
1613
      smoking a cigar, walking down the streets of London, somebody
```

1614 ran into a local store where they all kept water buckets in 1615 case a fire broke out, and threw water on the man because he 1616 was on fire, he had smoke coming out of his mouth. 1617 So it would seem to me that if we could get to some more 1618 of these smokeless products, it would probably help folks. 1619 That is the gut reaction. I would like to see the science on 1620 it. 1621 Do you think that they are going to be able to give us 1622 some of that, and reduce this backlog dramatically in the 1623 next couple of years? Ms. {Crosse.} Well, with regard to smokeless products, 1624 1625 I think that that depends upon the applications that are 1626 submitted to them. That is dependent upon the industry. 1627 Some of those products are currently not deemed to be subject 1628 to FDA regulation, and so products of those types can enter 1629 the market right now. I think that there is not currently a 1630 sufficient understanding though of the risks posed by those 1631 products, and whether or not they simply allow someone who 1632 smokes to co-use those types of products, or, you know, or 1633 use those products in situations where there--they can't use a cigarette because of restrictions on where they can smoke, 1634

```
or whether or not it allows them to cease use of tobacco
1635
1636
     products, which we do know is dangerous to their health.
1637
           Mr. {Griffith.} Yeah. And we certainly need to get the
1638
      answers to these questions because, you know, even a number
1639
     of healthcare individuals have indicated that there is a good
1640
     possibility that things like the e-cigarette may be a step in
1641
     between smoking the smoke tobacco and moving away from using
1642
      the product at all.
1643
          Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, of course, if they are making
1644
      smoking cessation claims, then they would be subject to
1645
      regulation as a drug, and subject to regulation in a
1646
      different part of FDA. So, you know, I think the concern is
1647
     whether or not they become a gateway product to allow young
     people to then begin smoking cigarettes, and I think the
1648
1649
      science is just not there yet to know.
1650
           Mr. {Griffith.} Yes, ma'am. I appreciate that, and I
1651
     hope that the center will get to work and get it done.
1652
           Thank you so much, and I yield back.
1653
          Mr. {Pitts.} The gentleman's time has expired.
           The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from Illinois,
1654
     Mr. Shimkus, 5 minutes for your questions please.
1655
```

```
1656
          Mr. {Shimkus.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If I can get
1657
     the staffer to move. Mike Bilirakis. Thank you.
1658
          Mr. {Bilirakis.} Gus Bilirakis.
1659
          Mr. {Shimkus.} Yeah, yeah, yeah. Well, anyway, your
      staffer, get him to move. Thanks. Mike's dad.
1660
1661
          Mr. {Bilirakis.} Yeah.
1662
          Mr. {Shimkus.} That is his dad, former committee
1663
     member, it is an easy mistake.
1664
           So welcome. And actually, I am following a lot of
1665
     Morgan's comments, and a lot of comments other--all other
      folks have made, but I want to start with--I was going to
1666
      flip the questions around but you ended up with this whole,
1667
1668
      if there is a statement of smoking cessation claimed, it goes
      into another part or another area of regulation, versus just
1669
      tobacco use product, is that correct?
1670
1671
           Ms. {Crosse.} That is my understanding, yes.
1672
          Mr. {Shimkus.} And because another former colleague,
1673
     not Mike Bilirakis, but Steve Buyer, when we passed this Bill
1674
      in 2009, kept trying to address these issues of nicotine gum,
1675
      snuff, and now you could make some debate about e-cigarettes,
      that, yes, do provide nicotine to the individual consumer,
1676
```

```
1677
     but you could also argue, especially with e-cigarettes, that
1678
      in the vaporized form versus a burning form, and all those
1679
      issues, there may be some health benefits over a burned
1680
     tobacco product is kind of the debate, and I don't--I--so in
1681
      this process, we need to get the FDA to move in the direction
1682
     of evaluating this, right? Or shouldn't the FDA, in essence,
1683
     be like the referee on the Court in making judgments?
1684
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, I think that the statute gives them
1685
     that authority and that responsibility, and that there--they
1686
     have announced that they intend to deem additional tobacco
     products, and as I understand it, virtually all additional
1687
1688
      tobacco products, as subject to their regulation.
1689
           Mr. {Shimkus.} And so intent to deem, I guess that is
     part of the reason why we are here, right? How long does it
1690
1691
      take to have an intent to deem, and how long should it?
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, rulemaking, as I am sure you are
1692
1693
      aware, is typically a year's long process. They first
1694
      announced their intent to deem in 2010, but it was not clear
1695
     whether they at that time intended to deem all products at
1696
      once, or products after product individually. My
     understanding is that they now have made a determine to--a
1697
```

```
1698
     determination to deem multiple products at one time, and so,
1699
     therefore, needed to develop the information to support that
1700
     rulemaking. We had other work that had examined rulemaking
1701
     at FDA that had a range of 1 year to 14 years, so this is
1702
     still in that range.
1703
           Mr. {Shimkus.} Yeah, but the importance of the intent
1704
      to deem is to fully--to provide information to the consuming
1705
     public, the adult consuming public, correct?
1706
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, yes, and to make determinations
1707
     about the safety and controls that might be required for
1708
     different types of products.
1709
           Mr. {Shimkus.} Because they should be using science and
1710
     evidence in this decision-making process, correct?
1711
           Ms. {Crosse.} That is what they are saying that they
1712
      are trying to develop, is a scientific base to understand the
1713
      risks posed by different types of tobacco products.
1714
           Mr. {Shimkus.} And we would hope that will do that
      sooner rather than later for all of us involved. I would
1715
1716
      think that would be the argument.
1717
           And then on the -- my time is running short, but also
```

following up on Morgan's comments is technology and moving

```
1719
      rapidly, bureaucracy does not, we fight that issue across the
1720
     board in the telecom world. And you talk about apps, but
1721
     what about--is the FDA's ability to keep up with the
1722
      innovation and science and product technology for the Center
1723
      for Tobacco Products, have you seen that that is lagging
1724
     also?
1725
           Ms. {Crosse.} Well, I think it is too soon to say
1726
     whether it is lagging. I think they have just been mounting
1727
      it in the last several years. And so--
1728
           Mr. {Shimkus.} You know, I think that is what
      frustrates a lot of us here, and I know people--there is a
1729
1730
      role for government, but in the private sector, you can't
1731
     mount something for years. You would never have a product,
1732
      you would never have a return on investment, and your
1733
      competitors would move right past you. So we would wish that
1734
      they would move expeditiously.
1735
           And thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
1736
           Mr. {Pitts.} Gentleman yields back.
1737
           The Chair now recognizes the -- that being all the members
```

of the subcommittee, the Chair now recognizes Mr. Bilirakis 5

minutes for questions please.

1738

```
1740
           {Voice.} Is that Mike or Gus?
1741
          Mr. {Bilirakis.} Yeah. Either one. I can get Mike--
1742
          Mr. {Pitts.} Either one.
1743
          Mr. {Bilirakis.} --in short notice if you want him.
1744
      Thank you, but I am a member of the subcommittee as well, but
      turning to--thank you very much for appearing to day. I
1745
1746
     appreciate it very much, Doctor.
1747
           Turning to staffing levels at the Center for Tobacco
1748
     Products, how many FTE's are currently in the various
1749
     offices?
          Ms. {Crosse.} My understanding is that they currently
1750
     have a total of about 511 staff, and the figures I have are
1751
1752
     that the Office of Science, which is the office that makes
1753
     the decisions on product reviews, they have 194 staff, and
1754
     that the Office of Health Communications has 44 staff, and
1755
     the Office of Enforcement -- Compliance and Enforcement has 116
1756
     staff.
1757
           Mr. {Bilirakis.} Thank you. Do most of these employees
1758
     have previously--experience regulating tobacco products in
1759
     other government agencies?
1760
          Ms. {Crosse.} No, because tobacco products weren't
```

```
1761
     regulated previously, and so they may have experience in
1762
     regulating products, but not necessarily tobacco products.
1763
      They did bring in a number of scientists who had done
1764
     research on tobacco products, but not for purposes of
1765
     regulation.
1766
          Mr. {Bilirakis.} Thank you.
1767
           Next question. Has FDA implemented the small business
1768
     provisions included in the statute, including the
1769
     establishment of the office to assist small tobacco
1770
     manufacturers for the provision of technical assistance, and
1771
     has the Agency issued any small business guidance?
1772
          Ms. {Crosse.} You know, I am not certain. We can get
1773
     back to you on that. I know that they have had some
1774
      implementation in that area, but we did hear concerns from
1775
     manufacturers that that was an issue for them in terms of
1776
     being able to get the information that they needed.
1777
           Mr. {Bilirakis.} You are not sure about the small
1778
     business guidance?
1779
          Ms. {Crosse.} I am just not sure. I don't think that
1780
      I--that we looked at it explicitly.
1781
          Mr. {Bilirakis.} Okay, you will get back to me?
```

```
1782
           Ms. {Crosse.} Yes, we will.
1783
           Mr. {Bilirakis.} All right, thank you very much.
1784
           Anybody like some time here?
1785
           Thank you. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
           Mr. {Pitts.} The gentleman yields back.
1786
1787
           That concludes the questions by the members of the
1788
     subcommittee. I would remind all members they have 10
1789
     business days to submit questions for the record, and ask the
1790
     witness to respond to the questions promptly. Members should
1791
     submit their questions by the close of business on Tuesday,
1792
     April 22.
1793
           Without objection, the subcommittee is adjourned.
                                                               Thank
1794
     the witness.
1795
           [Whereupon, at 11:49 a.m., the subcommittee was
1796
     adjourned.]
```